To: Meg Sheehan[meg@ecolaw.biz]

Cc: Card, Bethany (DEP)[bethany.card@state.ma.us]; Webster, David[Webster.David@epa.gov]; Mary Lampert[mary.lampert@comcast.net]; Karen Vale[karen@capecodbaywatch.org]; Genevieve Byrne[gebyrne@gmail.com]; Anne Bingham[annebinghamlaw@comcast.net]; Sullivan, Rick (ENV)[rick.sullivan@state.ma.us]; Mary Woollen[Mary.Woollen@nrc.gov]; Kimmell, Ken (DEP)[ken.kimmell@state.ma.us]; Bill Maurer[wmmaurer@comcast.net]; Murphy, Colleen (DPH)[colleen.murphy@state.ma.us]; Hinchliffe, Tom (DPH)[tom.hinchliffe@state.ma.us]; martha.steele@state.ma.us]

From: Condon, Suzanne (DPH)
Sent: Mon 2/3/2014 2:52:06 PM

Subject: RE: Pilgrim Nuclear: Tritium Leaks and Use of NSDL

Thanks Meg- if we need to attend two meetings back to back in each location we will do that as we have done before. It just occurred to me that perhaps you might not get our regular tritium updates that are shared with several state agencies including those below and MEMA as well as the Plymouth NMC and the Duxbury NMC before posting on our web. Please let us know if you are not receiving those regular updates. We have continued to try and make sure all parties and the public are up to date on what we know because we believe transparency is important. Please remember though that the plant itself is licensed by the federal NRC so sometimes our information is limited. We will circle back with the committees on a meeting date and make sure you have that information.

From: Meg Sheehan [mailto:meg@ecolaw.biz] Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 9:41 AM

To: Condon, Suzanne (DPH)

Cc: Card, Bethany (DEP); webster.david@epa.gov; Mary Lampert; Karen Vale; Genevieve Byrne; Anne Bingham; Sullivan, Rick (EEA); Mary Woollen; Kimmell, Ken (DEP); Bill Maurer; Murphy, Colleen (DPH);

Hinchliffe, Tom (DPH); Steele, Martha (DPH)

Subject: Re: Pilgrim Nuclear: Tritium Leaks and Use of NSDL

Thanks, Suzanne.

It seems that given the seriousness of the situation, someone from DPH could fill in for you and make it to Nuclear Matters Committee on March 17, and that DPH could arrange resources to have meetings with both Duxbury and Plymouth.

There are many, many unanswered questions and if the publicly is going to be adequately informed, it will take an in-depth, continuing

dialogue, coordinated among EPA, DEP, DPH and EOEEA.

We hope our state and federal officials are committed to an open, transparent process and that
the process can begin immediately to ensure that there are no more "by-passes", "upsets" and
"relocations" of radioactive waste from Pilgrim's storage tanks to Cape Cod Bay.

Thanks so much.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Meg Sheehan

meg@ecolaw.biz

cell 508-259-9154